



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/617,906 | 07/17/2000 | | Kazuhiro Minami | JP9-1999-0152US1 | 7371 | |
| 36736 | 7590 | . 10/27/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DUKE W. Y | | S P C | SCHLAIFER, JONATHAN D | | | |
| YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. P.O. BOX 802333 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DALLAS, T | X 75380 |) | 2178 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/617,906 | MINAMI ET AL. | | | | | |
| 7.40.00.y 7.10.10.1 | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Jonathan D. Schlaifer | 2178 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applications to the same of this application and the same of the | cation. A proper rep ch places the applic | oly to a cation in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THAT te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate extends from the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | | terially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claim | ms. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | I be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Section 1.5. | | sidered but does No | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a) will not be entered or to would be rejected is provided be | o)⊠ will be entered low or appended. | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4,6,8 and 11</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1,3,5,7,9 a | and 10. | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Stuts | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/617,906

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments related to schedule conditions and Claims 2 and 8 are addressed in the rejection because they are an inherent part of the art; again, in Claims 4 and 10, schedule conditions are an inherent part of the art; structured activities inherently have scheduling aspects and because of this scheduling conditions are a part of the normal operation of those activities.